

Chapter 2

The stochastic integral

We aim at defining an integral $\int_0^T \theta_s dB_s$ w.r.t. the Brownian motion B for a class of processes θ .

For a regular function g and a differentiable function f , the integral of g w.r.t. f is defined by

$$\int_0^T g(s) df(s) = \int_0^T g(s) f'(s) ds .$$

When f is not differentiable any more, this integral can be generalized to the case where f is a finite variation function as follow

$$\int_0^T g(s) df(s) = \lim_{\pi_n \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g(t_i) (f(t_{i+1}^n) - f(t_i^n)) \quad (2.0.1)$$

where $\Pi_n = \{t_0^n, \dots, t_n^n\}$ is a subdivision of $[0, T]$ for all $n \geq 1$. This integral is called the Stieljes integral.

In our case, **we cannot use this definition of the integral since the Brownian motion is not a finite variation process.**

2.1 Stochastic integral on elementary processes

To give a sense to the integral w.r.t. the Brownian motion we use the fact that it has finite quadratic variation. This leads us to work in an L^2 type space. More precisely, we extend (2.0.1) by considering an L^2 sense for the limit:

$$\int_0^T \theta_s dB_s = \lim_{\pi_n \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta_{t_i} (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})$$

Since we work with an L^2 sense, we ask the process θ to be square integrable and also adapted. We now give the precise definition of the square integrability we need. We fix in the sequel a complete right-continuous¹ filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. We define the set $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ by

$$L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T]) = \left\{ (\theta_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T} \text{ } \mathcal{F}\text{-adapted process cadlag s.t. } \theta \in L^2(\Omega, [0, T]) \right\}$$

We first construct the stochastic integral on a smaller set.

Définition 2.1.1 *A process $(\theta_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is an **elementary process** if there exists a subdivision $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n = T$ and a discrete time process $(\Theta_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n-1}$ such that*

- Θ_i is $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$ -measurable for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$,
- $\Theta_i \in L^2(\Omega)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$,
- The following identification

$$\theta_t(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Theta_i(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{]t_i, t_{i+1}]}(t) \quad (2.1.1)$$

holds for all $t \in [0, T]$.

We denote by $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ the set of elementary processes. .

We notice that the set of elementary process is a subset of $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$:

$$\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T]) \subset L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T]) .$$

Définition 2.1.2 *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{E}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ satisfying the decomposition (2.1.1). The stochastic integral between 0 and $t \in [0, T]$ of θ w.r.t. B is the random variable $\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s$ defined by*

$$\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s := \sum_{i=0}^k \Theta_i (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i}) + \Theta_k (B_t - B_{t_k}) ,$$

where k is such that $t \in]t_k, t_{k+1}]$. It can also be witten

$$\boxed{\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Theta_i (B_{t \wedge t_{i+1}} - B_{t \wedge t_i}) .} \quad (2.1.2)$$

¹i.e. $\cap_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{F}_{t+\varepsilon} = \mathcal{F}_t$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

To a process $\theta \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ we then associate the process $\int_0^\cdot \theta_s dB_s = \left(\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s\right)_{t \in [0, T]}$.

Remarque 2.1.1 According the Chasles relation we define $\int_s^t \theta_u$ by

$$\int_s^t \theta_u dB_u := \int_0^t \theta_u dB_u - \int_0^s \theta_u dB_u$$

for any $s, t \in [0, T]$.

Proposition 2.1.1 [Properties of the stochastic integral on $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$]

- (1) $\theta \mapsto \int_0^t \theta_s dB_s$ is a linear map on $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$.
- (2) The process $\int_0^\cdot \theta_s dB_s$ is continuous for all $\theta \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$.
- (3) The process $\int_0^\cdot \theta_s dB_s$ is $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted for all $\theta \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$.
- (4) $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s \right] = 0$ and $\text{Var} \left(\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s \right) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \theta_s^2 ds \right]$ for all $\theta \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$.
- (5) Isometry property:

$$\boxed{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \theta_s^2 ds \right]}$$

for all $\theta \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ and all $t \in [0, T]$.

- (6) More generally, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_s^t \theta_u dB_u | \mathcal{F}_s \right] = 0 \quad \text{et} \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_s^t \theta_v dB_v \right)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_s^t \theta_v^2 dv | \mathcal{F}_s \right]$$

for all $\theta \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ and all $s, t \in [0, T]$ such that $s \leq t$.

- (7) We also have the general result

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_s^t \theta_v dB_v \right) \left(\int_s^u \phi_v dB_v \right) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_s^{t \wedge u} \theta_v \phi_v dv | \mathcal{F}_s \right]$$

for all $\theta, \phi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ and all $t, s \in [0, T]$.

- (8) The process $\left(\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s \right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is an $\mathcal{F}$ -martingale for all $\theta \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$.

(9) The quadratic variation of the stochastic integral is given by

$$\left\langle \int_0^\cdot \theta_s dB_s \right\rangle_t = \int_0^t \theta_s^2 ds$$

for all $\theta \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ and all $t \in [0, T]$.

(10) The quadratic covariation between two stochastic integrals given by

$$\left\langle \int_0^\cdot \theta_s dB_s, \int_0^\cdot \phi_s dB_s \right\rangle_t = \int_0^t \theta_s \phi_s ds$$

for all $\theta, \phi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ and all $t \in [0, T]$.

Example: We have

$$\int_0^t dB_s = B_t - B_0 = B_t$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

2.2 Extension of the SI

We notice that the stochastic integral of a process in $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ is a square integrable $\mathcal{F}$ -martingale. We therefore define the set $\mathcal{M}^2([0, T])$ by

$$\mathcal{M}^2([0, T]) := \{M \text{ } \mathcal{F}\text{-martingale such that } \mathbb{E}[M_t^2] < \infty \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]\}$$

As defined now, the stochastic integration is a linear map from $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ to $\mathcal{M}^2([0, T])$. We now extend the stochastic integration to $L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$. To this end we need the following lemma.

Lemme 2.2.1 *The set of elementary processes $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ is dense in $L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ for the norm $\|\cdot\|_2'$. In other words, for any $\theta \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$, there exists a sequence $(\theta^n)_n$ in $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ such that*

$$\|\theta^n - \theta\|_2' = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (\theta_s - \theta_s^n)^2 ds \right]^{1/2} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The extension of the stochastic integral is given by the following result.

Theorem 2.2.1 *There exists a unique linear map I from $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ to $\mathcal{M}^2([0, T])$ which coincides with the stochastic integral on $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ and satisfies the isometry property:*

$$\mathbb{E}[I(\theta)_t^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \theta_s^2 ds\right]$$

for all $\theta \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ and all $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof.

Approximation: Fix $\theta \in \mathcal{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$. From the previous Lemma, There exists a sequence $(\theta^n)_n$ in $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ such that

$$\|\theta - \theta^n\|'_2 = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (\theta_s - \theta_s^n)^2 ds\right]^{1/2} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Convergence: From the isometry property between 0 and $t \in [0, T]$ applied to the process $(\theta^{n+p} - \theta^n) \in \mathcal{E}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t (\theta_s^{n+p} - \theta_s^n) dB_s\right)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t (\theta_s^{n+p} - \theta_s^n)^2 ds\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (\theta_s^{n+p} - \theta_s^n)^2 ds\right],$$

which can be rewritten

$$\left\|\int_0^t \theta_s^{n+p} dB_s - \int_0^t \theta_s^n dB_s\right\|_2 \leq \|\theta^{n+p} - \theta^n\|'_2. \quad (2.2.1)$$

Since $(\theta^n)_n$ converges in $L^2(\Omega, [0, T])$, it is a Cauchy sequence. From (2.2.1), the sequence $(\int_0^t \theta_s^n dB_s)_n$ is Cauchy in $L^2(\Omega)$. $L^2(\Omega)$ endowed with $\|\cdot\|_2$ being a Banach space, the sequence $(\int_0^t \theta_s^n dB_s)$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$. If we denote by $\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s$ its limit, we get by sending p to infinity in (2.2.1)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s - \int_0^t \theta_s^n dB_s\right)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t (\theta_s - \theta_s^n)^2 ds\right].$$

Uniqueness: Suppose that $(\theta_n)_n$ et $(\phi_n)_n$ are two approximating sequences in $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ for $\theta \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$. From the isometry property, we have

$$\left\|\int_0^t \theta_s^n dB_s - \int_0^t \phi_s^n dB_s\right\|_2 = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t (\theta_s^n - \phi_s^n)^2 ds\right]^{1/2} \leq \|\theta^n - \phi^n\|'_2$$

Therefore, by sending n to infinity we get that $\int_0^t \theta_s^n dB_s - \int_0^t \phi_s^n dB_s$ in $L^2(\Omega)$.

We now extend the properties of the stochastic integral to $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$.

Proposition 2.2.1 (Properties of the extended stochastic integral) *The stochastic integral satisfies the following properties on $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$:*

- (1) $\theta \mapsto \int_0^t \theta_s dB_s$ is a linear map on $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$.
- (2) The process $\int_0^\cdot \theta_s dB_s$ is continuous for all $\theta \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$.
- (3) The process $\int_0^\cdot \theta_s dB_s$ is $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted for all $\theta \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$.
- (4) $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s \right] = 0$ and $\text{Var} \left(\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s \right) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \theta_s^2 ds \right]$ for all $\theta \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$.
- (5) Isometry property:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \theta_s^2 ds \right]$$

for all $\theta \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ and all $t \in [0, T]$.

- (6) More generally, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_s^t \theta_u dB_u | \mathcal{F}_s \right] = 0 \quad \text{et} \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_s^t \theta_v dB_v \right)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_s^t \theta_v^2 dv | \mathcal{F}_s \right]$$

for all $\theta \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ and all $s, t \in [0, T]$ such that $s \leq t$.

- (7) We also have the general result

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_s^t \theta_v dB_v \right) \left(\int_s^u \phi_v dB_v \right) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_s^{t \wedge u} \theta_v \phi_v dv | \mathcal{F}_s \right]$$

for all $\theta, \phi \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ and all $t, s \in [0, T]$.

- (8) The process $\left(\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s \right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is an $\mathcal{F}$ -martingale for all $\theta \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$.

- (9) The quadratic variation of the stochastic integral is given by

$$\left\langle \int_0^\cdot \theta_s dB_s \right\rangle_t = \int_0^t \theta_s^2 ds$$

for all $\theta \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ and all $t \in [0, T]$.

(10) The quadratic covariation between two stochastic integrals given by

$$\left\langle \int_0^\cdot \theta_s dB_s, \int_0^\cdot \phi_s dB_s \right\rangle_t = \int_0^t \theta_s \phi_s ds$$

for all $\theta, \phi \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega, [0, T])$ and all $t \in [0, T]$.

The case of deterministic process θ .

Proposition 2.2.2 Suppose that the process θ is a deterministic function f of the time variable. Then the stochastic integral $\int_0^t f(s)dB_s$ is a Gaussian random variable called the **Wiener integral** with law given by

$$\int_0^t f(s)dB_s \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \int_0^t f^2(s)ds\right).$$

Proof. Indeed, $\int_0^t f(s)dB_s$ can be written as the limit in $L^2(\Omega)$ of random variables of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(t_i^n)(B_{t_{i+1}^n} - B_{t_i^n})$$

where $t_i^n = \frac{i}{n}t$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $n \geq 1$. We notice that $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(t_i^n)(B_{t_{i+1}^n} - B_{t_i^n})$ is a limit in $L^2(\Omega)$ of Gaussian random variable, $\int_0^t f(s)dB_s$ is also a Gaussian random variable. Since the convergence in $L^2(\Omega)$ implies the convergence of the expectation and the variance we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t f(s)dB_s\right] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}\left[\int_0^t f(s)dB_s\right] = \int_0^t f^2(s)ds.$$

Moreover, any linear combination of the $\int_0^{t_i} f(s)dB_s$ is also a Wiener integral and hence a Gaussian random variable. Therefore the process $\int_0^\cdot f(s)dB_s$ is a **Gaussian process** with covariance function given by

$$\text{Cov}\left(\int_0^t f(s)dB_s, \int_0^u g(s)dB_s\right) = \int_0^{t \wedge u} f(s)g(s)ds.$$

We can also prove that the random variable $\int_s^t f(u)dB_u$ is independent of $\sigma(B_u : u \leq s)$.

The case where the process θ is a deterministic function $f(B)$ of the Brownian motion.

We have seen that for a sequence (θ^n) in $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, [0, T])$ converging to θ in $L^2(\Omega, [0, T])$, the stochastic integral $\int \theta dB$ is the limit in $L^2(\Omega)$ of the sequence $(\int \theta^n dB)_n$. In the case where θ is of the form $f(B)$. A natural sequence to approach the stochastic integral $\int_0^T f(B)dB$ is the following

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(B_{t_i^n})(B_{t_{i+1}^n} - B_{t_i^n})$$

where $t_i^n = \frac{i}{n}T$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $n \geq 1$. We study the conditions under which we have convergence.

Proposition 2.2.3 *Suppose that the function f admits a bounded first order derivative. Then we have then following convergence in $L^2(\Omega)$:*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(B_{\frac{i}{n}T})(B_{\frac{(i+1)}{n}T} - B_{\frac{i}{n}T}) \xrightarrow{L^2} \int_0^T f(B_s)dB_s \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Example: how to compute $\int_0^T B_s dB_s$?

Using the process $B_{\cdot}^n := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_{t_i} \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}(\cdot)$, The previous Proposition gives the convergence in $L^2(\Omega)$ of $\int_0^T B_s^n dB_s$ to $\int_0^T B_s dB_s$. We then notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_0^T B_s^n dB_s &= 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_{t_i} (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i}) ds = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (B_{t_{i+1}}^2 - B_{t_i}^2) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})^2 \\ &= B_T^2 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})^2 \end{aligned}$$

The second term in the r.h.s converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to the quadratic variation of B on $[0, T]$. We finally get

$$B_T^2 = \int_0^T 2B_s dB_s + T.$$

In particular, $(B_t^2 - t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale.

Loosely speaking, one can say that a small variation dB_t of B corresponds to a variation $\sqrt{dt}$ since its quadratic variation is dt i.e.

$$dB_t \sim \sqrt{dt} \Rightarrow (dB_t)^2 \sim dt$$

2.3 Girsanov transformation

Absolutely continuous change of probability

Consider a probability measure $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T)$ equivalent to $\mathbb{P}$. If $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. $\mathbb{P}$, The Radon Nikodym Theorem ensures the existence of an $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variable Z_T such that $d\hat{\mathbb{P}} = Z_T d\mathbb{P}$, i.e.

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A Z_T \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_T.$$

Saying that $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\mathbb{P}$ are equivalent remains to say that they have the same support and hence Z_T do not vanish, i.e. $Z_T > 0$. Then, the Radon Nikodym density of $\mathbb{P}$ w.r.t. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ is $1/Z_T$.

Since $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ is probability measure we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}(\Omega) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Z_T] = 1$$

From Bayes formula we know that for any $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variable. X_T we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Z_T X_T]$$

To the random variable Z_T we associate the martingale process $(Z_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ defined by

$$Z_t := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Z_T | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. We then have for any $t \in [0, T]$ and any $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable random variable X_t

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}[X_t] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Z_T X_t] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Z_T X_t | \mathcal{F}_t]] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Z_t X_t].$$

Actually Z_t is the Radon Nikodym density on $\mathcal{F}_t$ of $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{P}$. We denote by

$$Z_t = \left. \frac{d\hat{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$$

For an $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted process X , the generalized Bayes formula is given by

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}[X_T | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\frac{Z_T}{Z_t} X_T | \mathcal{F}_t \right] = \frac{1}{Z_t} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [Z_T X_T | \mathcal{F}_t].$$

Indeed, for any bounded $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable random variable Y_t we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}[X_T Y_t] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Z_T X_T Y_t] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Z_T X_T | \mathcal{F}_t] Y_t] = \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\frac{1}{Z_t} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Z_T X_T | \mathcal{F}_t] Y_t \right].$$

Theorem 2.3.1 (Girsanov Theorem) *There exists a probability measure $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$ equivalent to the historical probability $\mathbb{P}$ defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T)$ by*

$$\left. \frac{d\widehat{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_T} := Z_T := e^{-\lambda W_T - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}T}$$

under which the process $\widehat{W}$ defined by

$$\widehat{W}_t := W_t + \lambda t$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, is a Brownian motion.

Proof. $\widehat{W}$ is a continuous process and $\widehat{W}_0 = 0$. Thus it is a Brownian motion if for any $n \geq 1$, any $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n = T$, the random variables $\widehat{W}_{t_i} - \widehat{W}_{t_{i-1}}$ are independent and with respective laws $\mathcal{N}(0, t_i - t_{i-1})$. To prove this it suffices to show that for any $u_1, \dots, u_n$ de $\mathbb{R}^n$, we have the following identification between the characteristic functions

$$\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\mathbb{P}}} \left[e^{i \sum_{j=1}^n u_j (\widehat{W}_{t_j} - \widehat{W}_{t_{j-1}})} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-\frac{u_j^2}{2}(t_j - t_{j-1})}$$

This identification is proved as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\mathbb{P}}} \left[e^{i \sum_{j=1}^n u_j (\widehat{W}_{t_j} - \widehat{W}_{t_{j-1}})} \right] &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\prod_{j=1}^n e^{iu_j [(W_{t_j} - W_{t_{j-1}}) + \lambda(t_j - t_{j-1})]} e^{-\lambda W_T - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}T} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\prod_{j=1}^n e^{(iu_j - \lambda)(W_{t_j} - W_{t_{j-1}}) + (i\lambda u_j - \frac{\lambda^2}{2})(t_j - t_{j-1})} \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n e^{(i\lambda u_j - \frac{\lambda^2}{2})(t_j - t_{j-1})} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[e^{(iu_j - \lambda)(W_{t_j} - W_{t_{j-1}})} \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n e^{(i\lambda u_j - \frac{\lambda^2}{2})(t_j - t_{j-1})} e^{\frac{(iu_j - \lambda)^2}{2}(t_j - t_{j-1})} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-\frac{u_j^2}{2}(t_j - t_{j-1})} . \end{aligned}$$

□