

Cox-Ingersol-Ross process (CIR)

- The CIR process is solution to the SDE

$$dX_t = \kappa(a - X_t)dt + \vartheta\sqrt{X_t}dW_t, \quad X_0 > 0$$

where $\kappa, a, \vartheta > 0$.

- Though $\sqrt{x}$ is not Lipschitz (even defined) on $\mathbb{R} \dots$

Theorem (Strong solution for the CIR, Ikeda-Watanabe, 1981)

If $\frac{\vartheta^2}{2\kappa a} \leq 1$, for every $x > 0$, there exists a unique solution $(X_t^x)_{t \geq 0}$ and

$$\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad X_0^x = x \quad \text{and} \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad X_t^x > 0.$$

- Naive (formal) Euler discretization scheme:

$$\bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n} = \bar{X}_{t_k^n} + \kappa(a - \bar{X}_{t_k^n})\frac{T}{n} + \vartheta\sqrt{\bar{X}_{t_k^n}}\Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n}, \quad k = 0 : n-1, \quad \bar{X}_0 = x$$

is not valid since $\mathbb{P}(\bar{X}_{t_1^n} < 0) = \mathbb{P}(W_{T/n} < z(x)) > 0$.

- Can it be fixed by replacing $\sqrt{\bar{X}_{t_k^n}}$ by $\sqrt{|\bar{X}_{t_k^n}|}$ *mutatis mutandis* ?
Implementable, but still not positive.

Implicit scheme I

- Naive (semi-) implicit scheme (to preserve martingality):

$$\bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n} = \bar{X}_{t_k^n} + \kappa(a - \bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n})\frac{T}{n} + \vartheta \sqrt{\bar{X}_{t_k^n}} \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n}.$$

- ▶ Existence (positivity !) ? still not so clear.
- ▶ Martingality of Brownian term ? YES.

- However, still searching for a fully implicit scheme,

$$\sqrt{\bar{X}_{t_k^n}} \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n} = \sqrt{\bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n}} \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n} - \left(\sqrt{\bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n}} - \sqrt{\bar{X}_{t_k^n}} \right) \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n}.$$

- Itô's Lemma applied to $(\sqrt{X_t})_t$ itself between t_k^n and t_{k+1}^n yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{X_{t_{k+1}^n}} - \sqrt{X_{t_k^n}} &= \int_{t_k^n}^{t_{k+1}^n} \left[\dots \right] dt + \int_{t_k^n}^{t_{k+1}^n} \frac{\vartheta \sqrt{X_s}}{2\sqrt{X_s}} dW_s. \\ &= \underbrace{\int_{t_k^n}^{t_{k+1}^n} \left[\dots \right] dt}_{O(T/n)} + \frac{\vartheta}{2} \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

- Hence, conditioning by $\mathcal{F}_{t_k^n}^W$ yields,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sqrt{X_{t_{k+1}^n}} - \sqrt{X_{t_k^n}}\right)\Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n}^W\right] &= \frac{\vartheta}{2}\mathbb{E}(\Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n})^2 + O\left(\left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^{3/2}\right) \\ &\sim \frac{\vartheta T}{2n} = \kappa \cdot \frac{T}{n} \cdot \frac{\vartheta}{2\kappa}.\end{aligned}$$

- This suggests to set $\bar{X}_0 = x$ and

$$\bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n} = \bar{X}_{t_k^n} + \kappa \left(a - \frac{\vartheta^2}{2\kappa} - \bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n} \right) \frac{T}{n} + \vartheta \sqrt{\bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n}} \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n}, \quad k = 0 : n-1.$$

Implicit scheme I

- The above equation

$$\bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n} = \bar{X}_{t_k^n} + \kappa \left(a - \frac{\vartheta^2}{2\kappa} - \bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n} \right) \frac{T}{n} + \vartheta \sqrt{\bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n}} \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n}, \quad k = 0 : n-1.$$

can be inverted into the straightforwardly implementable: $\bar{X}_0 = x$ and

$$\bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n} = \left(\frac{\vartheta \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n} + \sqrt{(\vartheta \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n})^2 + 4 \left(\kappa \left(a - \frac{\vartheta^2}{2\kappa} \right) \frac{T}{n} + \bar{X}_{t_k^n} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\kappa T}{n} \right)}}{2 \left(1 + \frac{\kappa T}{n} \right)} \right)^2,$$

$$k = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

- Expansions still preserving positivity can be derived from this expression.

Implicit scheme II

- Set $Y_t = \sqrt{X_t}$. Itô's Lemma shows that $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the SDE

$$(E) \quad \equiv \quad dY_t = \frac{\kappa a}{2} \left(\frac{c}{Y_t} - \frac{Y_t}{a} \right) dt + \frac{\vartheta}{2} dW_t, \quad Y_0 = \sqrt{x},$$

where $c = 1 - \frac{\vartheta^2}{4\kappa a} \geq \frac{\vartheta^2}{4\kappa a} > 0$.

- We associate the **completely implicit Euler scheme** of this equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y}_0 &= \sqrt{x}, \\ \bar{Y}_{t_{k+1}^n} &= \bar{Y}_{t_k^n} + \frac{\kappa a}{2} \left(\frac{c}{\bar{Y}_{t_{k+1}^n}} - \frac{\bar{Y}_{t_{k+1}^n}}{a} \right) \frac{T}{n} + \frac{\vartheta}{2} \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

- Which in turn can be entirely explicit into $\bar{Y}_0 = \sqrt{x}$ and

$$\bar{Y}_{t_{k+1}^n} = \frac{\bar{Y}_{t_k^n} + \frac{\vartheta}{2} \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n} + \sqrt{(\bar{Y}_{t_k^n} + \frac{\vartheta}{2} \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n})^2 + 2a\kappa c \frac{T}{n} (1 + \frac{\kappa T}{2n})}}{2(1 + \frac{\kappa T}{2n})}.$$

- Set $\bar{X}_{t_k^n} = (\bar{Y}_{t_k^n})^2$, $k = 0 : n - 1$.
- Implicit schemes have been introduced and deeply investigated by A. Alfonsi and D.Brigo (strong and weak error).
- Empirical test show that the performances of the schemes degrade as soon as

$$\frac{\vartheta^2}{2\kappa a} \approx 1.$$

although one still has $\frac{\vartheta^2}{4\kappa a} \leq 1$.

Milstein scheme (more about 1D-)

- Diffusion with drift $b(t, x)$ and diffusion coefficient $\sigma(t, x)$.

Temporarily denote the time step $h = \frac{T}{n}$.

- The Milstein operator reads

$$\mathcal{M}_h(t, x, z) = x + hb(t, x) + \sqrt{h}\sigma(t, x)z + \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma'_x(t, x)h(z^2 - 1)$$

- It can be rewritten formally as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(t, x, z) = & \underbrace{x - \frac{\sigma(t, x)}{2\sigma'_x(t, x)}}_{(1)} + \underbrace{h \left(b(t, x) - \frac{\sigma\sigma'_x(t, x)}{2} \right)}_{(2)} \\ & + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma\sigma'_x(t, x)}{2} \left(z\sqrt{h} + \frac{1}{\sigma'_x(t, x)} \right)^2}_{(3) \quad >0 \text{ a.e.(!)}}. \end{aligned}$$

- It can be rewritten formally as

$$\mathcal{M}(t, x, z) = \underbrace{x - \frac{\sigma(t, x)}{2\sigma'_x(t, x)}}_{(1)} + \underbrace{h \left(b(t, x) - \frac{\sigma\sigma'_x(t, x)}{2} \right)}_{(2)} + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma\sigma'_x(t, x)}{2} \left(z\sqrt{h} + \frac{1}{\sigma'_x(t, x)} \right)^2}_{(3) \quad >0 \text{ a.e.(!)}}.$$

- If, for every $t \in [0, T]$ and every $x > 0$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & \sigma(t, x) > 0, \sigma'_x(t, x) > 0 \quad (\text{in fact } \sigma\sigma'_x(t, x) > 0) \\ (ii) & \frac{\sigma(t, x)}{2\sigma'_x(t, x)} \leq x \quad \implies (1) \geq 0 \\ (iii) & \frac{\sigma\sigma'_x(t, x)}{2} \leq b(t, x) \quad \implies (2) \geq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

whereas $(3) > 0 \mathbb{P}_Z(dz)$ -a.s. if $Z \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(0; 1)$.

- Under these assumptions, if $\bar{X}_0^{mil} = X_0 > 0$ a.s. then

$$\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad \bar{X}_{t_k^n}^{mil} > 0, \quad k = 0 : n$$

Exercise. This extends to the *continuous* Milstein scheme (show h plays no role).

- Application to the *CIR* process.

$$\sigma(t, x) = \vartheta \sqrt{x} > 0, \quad \sigma'_x(t, x) = \frac{\vartheta}{2\sqrt{x}} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b(t, x) = \kappa(a - x)$$

so that (i) is satisfied and

$$\frac{\sigma(t, x)}{2\sigma'_x(t, x)} = x \implies (ii) \text{ is satisfied as well}$$

but (iii) always fails.

Boosted CIR process

- Set $Y_t = e^{\kappa t} X_t$, where $(X_t)_t$ is the above CIR.
- $(Y_t)_t$ is called the boosted CIR process. By Itô formula, it satisfies the SDE

$$dY_t = \tilde{b}(t, Y_t)dt + \tilde{\sigma}(t, Y_t)dW_t, \quad Y_0 = x_0 > 0,$$

(Exercise!) with

$$\tilde{b}(t, y) = \kappa e^{\kappa t} a \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\sigma}(t, y) = e^{\frac{\kappa}{2}t} \vartheta \sqrt{y}.$$

- Then (i) and (ii) are still clearly satisfied and (iii) reads

$$\frac{\vartheta^2}{4} e^{\kappa t} \leq \kappa e^{\kappa t} a \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{\vartheta^2}{4\kappa a} \leq 1 !!!$$

Always satisfied under the strong existence condition for the (strictly) positive solution to CIR ($\frac{\vartheta^2}{2\kappa a} \leq 1$).

- The Milstein scheme of Y is a.s. (strictly) positive.

Practitioner's corner

- Write the Milstein scheme for $(Y_t^x)_{t \in [0, T]}$ (which preserves its positiveness).
- Set $\tilde{X}_{t_k^n}^x = e^{-\kappa t_k^n} \tilde{Y}_{t_k^n}^{x, mil}$ in the simulation.
- **Warning!** The boosted CIR **increases the variance** of the simulation.
- A reference formula for the Laplace transform (e.g. as a reference for numerical tests):

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \mathbb{E} e^{-\lambda X_T^x} = \frac{1}{(2\lambda L + 1)^{\frac{2\kappa a}{\vartheta^2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda L \zeta}{2\lambda L + 1}\right)$$

with

$$L = \frac{\vartheta^2}{4\kappa}(1 - e^{-\kappa T}) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta = \frac{4x\kappa}{\vartheta^2(e^{\kappa T} - 1)}.$$

- (Simpler!) Application to the Black-Scholes model.

$$b(x) = r x, \quad \sigma(x) = \sigma x, \quad x > 0,$$

so that (i) $\equiv \sigma(x), \sigma'(x) > 0$ is clearly satisfied. Then

$$\frac{\sigma(x)}{2\sigma'(x)} = \frac{x}{2} \leq x \implies (ii) \quad \text{is satisfied}$$

and (iii) $\equiv \frac{\sigma\sigma'(x)}{2} \leq b(x), x > 0$, reads

$$\frac{\sigma^2 x}{2} \leq r x \iff r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \geq 0.$$

- If $r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} < 0$, like for the CIR, one may introduce a “numerical” boosting factor ρ : set

$$\rho = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - r > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Y_t = e^{\rho t} X_t.$$

Higher dimensional Milstein scheme

- We start from the (scalar) *SDE* ($d = 1$ but $q = 2$) driven by $W = (W^1, W^2)$:

$$dX_t^x = b(X_t^x)dt + \sigma_1(X_t^x)dW_t^1 + \sigma_2(X_t^x)dW_t^2, \quad X_0^x = x.$$

- Reasoning like in 1-dimension yields shows that the 1st order term $\sigma(x)\sigma'(x) \int_0^t W_s dW_s$ now reads

$$\sum_{i,j=1,2} \sigma'_i(x)\sigma_j(x) \int_0^t W_s^i dW_s^j.$$

- In particular this sum contains

$$\sigma'_1(x)\sigma_2(x) \int_0^t W_s^1 dW_s^2 + \sigma_1(x)\sigma'_2(x) \int_0^t W_s^2 dW_s^1.$$

- Unfortunately, efficient simulation methods of the triplet $\left(W_t^1, W_t^2, \int_0^t W_s^1 dW_s^2\right)$ (which would be enough) remains out of reach ...

- Let $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma = [\sigma_{ij}] : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(d, q, \mathbb{R})$ (differentiable) and W a q -dimensional B.M.
- The (d, q) -dimensional Milstein scheme reads

Milstein scheme

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_0^{mil} &= X_0, \\ \bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n}^{mil} &= \bar{X}_{t_k^n}^{mil} + \frac{T}{n} b(\bar{X}_{t_k^n}^{mil}) + \sigma(\bar{X}_{t_k^n}^{mil}) \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq q} \partial \sigma_{.i} \sigma_{.j}(\bar{X}_{t_k^n}^{mil}) \times \int_{t_k^n}^{t_{k+1}^n} (W_s^i - W_{t_k^n}^i) dW_s^j, \quad k = 0 : n - 1\end{aligned}$$

where, for every $x = (x^1, \dots, x^d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the tensors $\partial \sigma_{.i} \sigma_{.j}$ read

$$\partial \sigma_{.i} \sigma_{.j}(x) := \sum_{\ell=1}^d \frac{\partial \sigma_{.i}}{\partial x^\ell}(x) \sigma_{\ell j}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ with } \sigma = [\sigma_{.i}]_{i=1, \dots, q}.$$

Proposition [Commuting case]

If the tensor $\partial\sigma_{.i}\sigma_{.j}$ commute, i.e.

$$\forall i \neq j, \quad \partial\sigma_{.i}\sigma_{.j} = \partial\sigma_{.j}\sigma_{.i},$$

then the Milstein scheme can be simulated since it reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_{t_{k+1}^n}^{mil} &= \bar{X}_{t_k^n}^{mil} + \frac{T}{n} \left(b(\bar{X}_{t_k^n}^{mil}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^q \partial\sigma_{.i}\sigma_{.i}(\bar{X}_{t_k^n}^{mil}) \right) + \sigma(\bar{X}_{t_k^n}^{mil}) \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq q} \partial\sigma_{.i}\sigma_{.j}(\bar{X}_{t_k^n}^{mil}) \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n}^i \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n}^j, \quad \tilde{X}_0^{mil} = X_0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $i \neq j$. As $\partial\sigma_{.i}\sigma_{.j} = \partial\sigma_{.j}\sigma_{.i}$, Lévy areas related to (W^i, W^j) only appear through their sum. Now, an integration by parts yields

$$\int_{t_k^n}^{t_{k+1}^n} (W_s^i - W_{t_k^n}^i) dW_s^j + \int_{t_k^n}^{t_{k+1}^n} (W_s^j - W_{t_k^n}^j) dW_s^i = \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n}^i \Delta W_{t_{k+1}^n}^j$$

since W^i and W^j are independent.

- **Example:** *Multi-dimensional Black-Scholes model.*

$$dX_t^i = X_t^i \left(r dt + \sum_{j=1}^q \sigma_{ij} dW_t^j \right), \quad X_0^i = x_0^i > 0, \quad i = 1 : d,$$

where $W = (W^1, \dots, W^q)$ a q -dimensional B.M.

Then, for every $x = (x^1, \dots, x^d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, q\}, \quad \partial \sigma_{.i} \sigma_{.j}(x) = \left[\sigma_{ki} \sigma_{kj} x^k \right]_{k=1:d} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

so that, the **Black-Scholes tensors do commute.**

- **Exercise.** Prove it!

- A theoretical result:

Theorem (Multi-dimensional Milstein scheme)

Assume that b and σ are $\mathcal{C}^1$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with bounded α'_b and α'_σ -Hölder continuous existing partial derivatives respectively. Let $\alpha = \min(\alpha'_b, \alpha'_\sigma)$. Then, for every $p \in (0, +\infty)$, there exists a real constant $C_{p,b,\sigma,T} > 0$ such that for every $X_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{P})$, independent of the q -dimensional Brownian motion W , the error bound established when $d = q = 1$ remains valid i.e.

$$\left\| \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |X_{t_k^n} - \bar{X}_{t_k^n}^{mil,n}| \right\|_p \leq \left\| \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t - \bar{X}_t^{mil,n}| \right\|_p \leq C_{b,\sigma,T,p} \left(\frac{T}{n} \right)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} (1 + \|X_0\|_p)$$

where $\alpha = \min(\alpha_{b'}, \alpha_{\sigma'})$.

- A major drawback: In general the continuous Milstein scheme cannot be simulated.
- Mostly useful at time T .